

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/806,514	03/22/2004	Wilhelm Frohs	SGL 02/23	3892		
24131	7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER			
	LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			DESAI, ANISH P		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1771	_		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are ejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	H.C							
Examiner Ant Unit Anish Desai — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, Rowever, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply as period above, the nearmount address and the period of the		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Anish Desai The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Expension of unimarple available under the processor of STOR 1.135(b). In no went, however, may a reply be timely filled Fit he period for reply appended above is less than thirty (50 days, a reply within the statutory normal and clairy (30) days will be considered directly. If the period for reply appended above, the maximum standory period vallegy and wall values 36 (MONTHS from the mailing case of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than fine months after the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period them designed and the communication and the communication and the standory period vallegy and wall values 36 (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period to the communication and the communication and the period of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period to the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search period of the communication and the communication is considered the search period of the communication is considered. 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 22 March 2004. 2a) This action is pipication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp part Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application is non-final. 3) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed.		10/806,514	FROHS ET AL.					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eathermost of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed by the period for reply specified above the file provision of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the period for reply specified above. The maximum statistic period wail authory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered filmely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as reply within the altabatory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered filmely. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statistic period wail authory minimum of timely (30) days will be considered filmely. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statistic period wail and provided file the replication in the communication of the communication. The days are specified and the communication of the communication is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Algorithm of Claims Algorithm of Claims Claim(s)12 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s)15 is/are allowed. Claim(s)15 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Claim(s)15 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Claim(s)15 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)15 coepted or b)15 the drawing(s) is objected to .See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the atta	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Examples of time rays be available under the protections of 37 CFR 1.136(s), in no event, however, may a rapiv be timely filled. If the period for regly is available under the protections of 37 CFR 1.136(s), in no event, however, may a rapiv be timely filled. If the period for regly specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expire Stx (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will expire Stx (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any regly received by the Official extends their their mailing date of this communication, event it invest filled, may reduce any search plants term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 7) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) All b) Some c) None of: 12) Notice of References Cited (P		Anish Desai	1771					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the mary be winded under the provious of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statution minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statution minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statution minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the statution minimum of timity (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified the replication is a condition or all owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle; 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The process of the priority documents have been received to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) Mone of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Some application fro		ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2004. 2a	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Motate, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the com	ation.				
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 4) Interview Summary (PTD-413) Paper No(s) Mail Del Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2004.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· <u> </u>							
All b) Some * c) See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Disposition of Claims Disposition of Claims Disposition for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Attachment(s) Disposition Dispositio	,—							
4)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date S) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to part of the control o	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	•					
Solid Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. The claim(s) is/are objected to solid place of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Paffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	. •					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Old None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·							
Application Papers 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) Total Carterior Action or form PTO-152 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) △ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 Certified copies of the priority docume 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	*	en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	" See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a connecting piece for carbon material, classified in class 428, subclass 408.
- II. Claims 9-18, drawn to a method of producing connecting piece for carbon material electrodes, classified in class 264, subclass 29.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by following process. For example by forming a mixture containing activated carbon fibers with coating instead of coating the carbon fibers, then adding the coke, shaping the resulting mixture into the desired shape bodies, and carbonizing the resulting bodies.
- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,514 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Stemer Werner on 8/11/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, claims 1-9, drawn to a connecting piece for carbon material. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites "..said carbonized coating being a carbonization product of a coating material selected from the group consisting of wax, pitch, natural resins, thermoplastic polymers, and thermosetting polymers." and claim 6 recites "carbonized coating". It is unclear as to what applicant means by "carbonized

Art Unit: 1771

coating being a carbonization product" as claimed in the claim 1 and "carbonized coating" as claimed in the claim 6. Does the applicant means that he/she is carbonizing the coating and then adding the coating to the carbon fibers or the claimed coating is added to the carbon fibers and then the fiber with the coating is carbonized? For the purpose of the prior art search, the examiner is interpreting that the coating is added to the carbon fibers and then carbon fibers with the coating are carbonized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chuoku (GB 1548046).
- 7. Chuoku discloses carbon electrodes with a high strength and spalling resistant for electrical furnaces wherein iron, steel and alloys are manufactured (Front page, lines 9-14) and a method of preparing carbon electrodes (Page 2, lines 42-44). The method of making the electrode comprises a mixture containing carbonaceous material such as petroleum coke; polyacrylonitrile based non-fusible carbon fibers that are oxygenated (Page 2, lines 45-51, lines 103-106, 113-121), and a binder such as coal tar pitch (Page

Application/Control Number: 10/806,514 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

3, lines 92-93). Additionally, Chuoku discloses that the fibers and binder in the mixture are simultaneously carbonized (Page 4, lines 34-37). The examiner is equating the coal tar pitch binder as the claimed carbonized coating. Note that the said mixture is used in the formation of electrodes thus the carbon fibers are necessarily in the electrodes. The term "carbon electrodes" also means electrode bodies and nipples (Front page, lines14-17).

- 8. Regarding claim 4, the length of the fiber discloses by Chuoku is 1-25 mm (Page 2, lines 45-47).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Chuoku teaches that the addition of non-fusible fibers is from 0.5 to 5% by weight (Page 3, line 79-80).
- 10. Regarding claim 7, as stated previously Chuoku discloses polyacrylonitrile based carbon fibers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuoku (GB 1548046) in view of *Handbook of Carbon, Graphite, Diamond and Fullerenes Properties, Processing and Applications* (see, Chapter 8, Table 8.6, Page 191).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,514

Art Unit: 1771

12. The invention of Chuoku is previously disclosed. As stated previously, Chuoku teaches polyacrylonitrile (PAN) based carbon fibers. Although, Chuoku is silent with respect to teaching the claimed modulus, the PAN fibers with the claimed modulus are well known in the art as shown in the *Handbook of Carbon, Graphite, Diamond and Fullerenes – Properties, Processing and Applications* (see, Chapter 8, Table 8.6, Page 191).

Page 6

- 13. Thus a skilled artisan would have found it obvious to use the carbon fibers having the claimed modulus in the mixture of Chuoku to form the electrodes that have excellent strength.
- 14. Regarding claim 6, Chuoku discloses the claimed invention except that the mass fraction of the carbonized coating on the carbon fibers, based on the mass of the carbon fiber is from 0.5 to 15%. Note that the mass fraction of carbonized coating is considered as a result effective variable. As the amount of coating increases, the mass fraction of the coating on the carbon fiber increases which results in a stronger adhesion of the carbon fiber with the matrix. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the claimed mass fraction, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 15. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuoku (GB 1548046) in view of Lewis et al. (US Patent 5,413,738).

Art Unit: 1771

unitary composite structure having improved flexural strength and a reduced coefficient of thermal expansion comprising combination of carbonaceous reinforcing materials interbonded with pitch (see abstract). Additionally, Lewis et al. teach that their invention is applicable in the field of carbon-carbon composites and is most applicable to graphite electrodes (Column 1, lines 10-15). The fibers used for the carbonaceous reinforcing material may be woven, non-woven or knitted (Column 13, lines 1-5).

Page 7

17. A skilled artisan would have found it obvious to use the carbon fibers of Lewis et al. in the form of woven, non-woven or knitted and used it in the invention of Chuoku.

One would be motivated to do this, in order to obtain a carbon electrode with the improved properties such as flexural strength and reduced coefficient of thermal expansion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 18. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chuoku (GB 1548046).
- 19. Although Chuoku does not explicitly teach the claimed linear coefficient of thermal expansion of the claimed carbon fibers, it is reasonable to presume that the said linear coefficient of thermal expansion is necessarily present in the invention of Chuoku (GB 1548046). Support for such presumption is found the use of like materials. For example, applicant is using polyacrylonitrile (PAN) based carbon fibers (page 11, Specification) and Chuoku (GB 1548046) also teaches PAN based carbon fibers (Page 2, lines 113-116). The burden is upon the applicant to prove it otherwise. *In re*

Art Unit: 1771

Fitzgerald 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property linear coefficient of thermal expansion would obviously have been present once the invention of Chuoku is provided. Note In re Best, 195, USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to providing of this rejection made above under 35 U.S.C. 102.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-6467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APD